

#### TREE PRESERVATION ORDER

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012
The Cherwell District Council Tree Preservation Order (No.18) 2017
Various trees at Hill House Estate, South Side, Steeple Aston

The Cherwell District Council, in exercise of the powers conferred on them by sections 198 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 hereby make the following Order Citation

1. This Order may be cited as The Cherwell District Council Tree Preservation Order (No.18) 2017.

Interpretation

2. (1) In this Order "the authority" means the Cherwell District Council

(2) In this Order any reference to a numbered section is a reference to the section so numbered in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and any reference to a numbered regulation is a reference to the regulation so numbered in the Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation)(England) Regulations 2012.

#### **Effect**

- **3.** (1) Subject to article 4, this Order takes effect provisionally on the date on which it is made.
- (2) Without prejudice to subsections (7) of section 198 (power to make tree preservation orders) or subsection (1) of section 200 (tree preservation orders: Forestry Commissioners), and, subject to exceptions in regulation 14, no person shall -
  - (a) cut down, top, lop, uproot, wilfully damage or wilfully destroy; or
  - (b) cause or permit the cutting down, topping, lopping, wilful damage or wilful destruction of,

any tree specified in the Schedule to this Order except with the written consent of the authority in accordance with regulations 16 and 17, or of the Secretary of State in accordance with regulation 23, and, where such consent is given subject to conditions, in accordance with those conditions.

Application to trees to be planted pursuant to a condition

4. In relation to any tree identified in the first column of the Schedule by the letter "C", being a tree to be planted pursuant to a condition imposed under paragraph (a) of section 197 (planning permission to include appropriate provision for preservation and planting of trees), this Order takes effect as from the time when the tree is planted.

Dated this 27 July 2017

The Common Seal of the Cherwell District Council

was affixed to this order in the presence of -

..... Authorised Signatory

**[CONFIRMATION OF ORDER** [This Order was confirmed by the Cherwell District Council without modification on the day of OR [This Order was confirmed by the Cherwell District Council, subject to the modifications ], on the day of indicated by [ Authorised by the Council to sign in that behalf] **IDECISION NOT TO CONFIRM ORDER** [A decision not to confirm this Order was taken by the Cherwell District Council on the day of Authorised by the Council to sign in that behalf] **[VARIATION OF ORDER**] [This Order was varied by the Cherwell District Council on the day of by a variation order under reference number [ ]] a copy of which is attached. Signed on behalf of Cherwell District Council Authorised by the Council to sign in that behalf] **[REVOCATION OF ORDER** [This Order was revoked by the Cherwell District Council on the day of Signed on behalf of Cherwell District Council

Authorised by the Council to sign in that behalf]

## SCHEDULE

## **SPECIFICATION OF TREES**

# Trees Specified Individually (encircled in black on the map)

Reference	Description	Amenity Assessment (TEMPO)
T1	Horse Chestnut,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Good - Highly suitable (Score 5) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 15 (see Decision Guide 3)
T2	Beech,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Fair - Suitable (Score 3) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 13 (see Decision Guide 3)
Т3	Beech,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Good - Highly suitable (Score 5) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 15 (see Decision Guide 3)
T4	Oak,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Good - Highly suitable (Score 5) Remaining Longevity: 100+ -> Highly suitable (Score 5) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 16 (see Decision Guide 3)
T5	Horse Chestnut,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Good - Highly suitable (Score 5) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 15 (see Decision Guide 3)
T6	Cherry,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Fair - Suitable (Score 3) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 13 (see Decision Guide 3)
T7	Ash,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Good - Highly suitable (Score 5) Remaining Longevity: 100+ -> Highly suitable (Score 5) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 16 (see Decision Guide 3)

## Trees Specified Individually (encircled in black on the map)

Reference	Description	Amenity Assessment (TEMPO)
Т8	Ash,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Fair - Suitable (Score 3) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 13 (see Decision Guide 3)
Т9	Sycamore,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Good - Highly suitable (Score 5) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1)
		Total Score: 15 (see Decision Guide 3)

Groups of Trees (within a broken black line on the map)

Reference Description Amenity Assessment (TEMPO)

**NONE** 

Woodlands (within a continuous black line on the map)

Reference	Description	Amenity Assessment (TEMPO)
W1	Mixed woodland comprising mainly the followingspecies:Box, Beech,Ash,Oak,Sycamore,Hors e Chestnut,Holm Oak,	Findings and scores:- Tree Condition: Good - Highly suitable (Score 5) Remaining Longevity: 40-100 -> Very suitable (Score 4) Relative public visibility: Large or Medium clearly visible (Score 4) Other factors: Trees with none of above features (Score 1) Expediency assessment: Precautionary only (Score 1) Total Score: 15 (see Decision Guide 3)

Reference to an Area (within a dotted black line on the map)

Reference Description Amenity Assessment (TEMPO)

NONE

Part 3: Decision guide Any 0 Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-11 Does not merit TPO 12-15 TPO defensible 16+ Definitely merits TPO



### **CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### TREE PRESERVATION ORDER (NO.18/2017)

#### Statement of Reasons

- 1. <u>Amenity:</u> The tree/s specified within the first schedule of the Order have been included for its/ their high amenity value. This value was determined through qualified assessment guided by use of the TEMPO methodology (Tree Evaluation Method for Preservation Orders)
- 2. <u>Condition & Health:</u> A provisional inspection from ground level by a qualified officer indicated that generally the tree/s appears to be structurally and physiologically sound and should provide considerable amenity for many years to come.
- 3. <u>Conclusion:</u> It is the opinion of the Local Planning Authority that the tree/s specified in the Order be afforded the protection of a Tree Preservation Order and should be preserved under a direction of Town & Country Planning (Regs) 2012 taking effect immediately.

### 4. Additional Information:

The property of Hill House Estate, South Side Steeple Aston is currently subject to a TPO (4/1965) with an area designation. This new TPO with individual and woodland designations has been produced to replace this old area order, which will be revoked when the new order is confirmed.



## IMPORTANT - THIS COMMUNICATION MAY AFFECT YOUR PROPERTY

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012

### CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL TREE PRESERVATION ORDER (NO.18) 2017

Re: Various trees at Hill House Estate, South Side, Steeple Aston

THIS IS A FORMAL NOTICE to let you know that the Cherwell District Council have on 01 August 2017, made the above-mentioned Tree Preservation Order, (a copy of which, together with the accompanying map, is attached). In simple terms the Order prohibits you from cutting down, topping or lopping any of the trees specified in the First Schedule and shown on the map, without the Council's consent, but you must read the Order itself for the detailed provisions.

The Order took effect, on a provisional basis, on the date stated above. It will continue in force on this basis for a further six months or until the Order is confirmed by the Council, whichever first occurs:

The grounds for making the said Order are as set out in the attached statement.

A certified copy of the Order and the map included therein may be inspected without charge at the Cherwell District Council offices, Bodicote House, Bodicote, Banbury between the hours of 9.00 am and 4.00 pm Mondays to Fridays inclusive.

The Council will consider whether the Order should be confirmed, that is to say, whether it should take effect formally. Before this decision is made, the people affected by the Order have a right to make objections or other representations about any of the trees, groups of trees or woodlands covered by the Order.

Should you wish to raise any objections or make any representations with respect to the Order they should be made in writing by 29 August 2017 and must comply with Regulation 6 of the Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012 (reproduced overleaf), and should be returned to the Head of Law and Governance at the under-mentioned address.

All valid objections or representations are considered carefully by the Council's Planning Committee before deciding whether to confirm the Order. The Council will write to you again when a decision has been taken on whether to confirm the Order.

Dated: 27 July 2017

(Signed)

Authorised Signatory
Law and Governance
Cherwell District Council

**Bodicote House** 

**Bodicote** 

BANBURY Oxfordshire OX15 4AA

# COPY OF REGULATION 6 OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (Tree Preservation) (England) REGULATIONS 2012

#### 6.— Objections and representations

- (1) Subject to paragraph (2), objections and representations—
- (a) shall be made in writing and-
- (i) delivered to the authority not later than the date specified by them under regulation 5(2)(c); or
- (ii) sent to the authority in a properly addressed and pre-paid letter posted at such time that, in the ordinary course of post, it would be delivered to them not later than that date;
- (b) shall specify the particular trees, groups of trees or woodlands (as the case may be) in respect of which such objections and representations are made; and
- (c) in the case of an objection, shall state the reasons for the objection.
- (2) The authority may treat as duly made objections and representations which do not comply with the requirements of paragraph (1) if, in the particular case, they are satisfied that compliance with those requirements could not reasonably have been expected.